

Billingley's lemma leads to the notion of

Def Dimension of a measure:  $\mu$ -Borel measure, i.e.  $\mu$  is a Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .  
 $\dim \mu = \inf \{ \dim A : \mu(A^c) = 0, A \subset \mathbb{R}^n \text{ Borel} \}$ .

Another, equivalent, def

$\dim \mu = \inf \{ d : \mu \ll \mathcal{H}^d \}$ . Remark:  $\inf = \min$ , take  $A_n$  with  $\mu(A_n^c) = 0$ ,  $\dim A_n \leq \dim \mu + \frac{1}{n}$ .  
 $A := \bigcap A_n$ .

Local dimension of  $\mu$  at  $x$  is defined as

$$\dim_\mu(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu(B_n(x))}{-n \log b}.$$

Remark This definition, strictly speaking, depends on  $b$ .

An independent definition:  $\dim_\mu(x) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log \mu(B(x, r))}{\log r}$ . Since  $\mu(B(x, r)) \geq \mu(B_n(x))$  for some  $n$  with  $b^n \leq r < b^{n+1}$ , we always have  $\dim_\mu(x) \leq \dim \mu$ .

An example of  $\mu$  be uniform on  $(0, 1]$ , show that at  $\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\dim_\mu = \infty$ ,  $\dim \mu = 1$ .

Equivalent  $b$ -adic definition: consider  $\mu(B_n(x))$ .

Also easy to see, using a version of Billingsley lemma, that

$\mu \{ x : \dim_\mu(x) \neq \dim \mu \text{ for some } b \} = 0$ .

We'll work with  $\dim_\mu$ , to simplify the derivations.

Lemma  $\dim \mu = \text{ess sup } \dim_\mu(x)$  (ess sup:  $\inf \{ M : \mu \{ x : \dim_\mu(x) > M \} = 0 \}$ ).

Pf. Pick  $d > \text{ess sup } \dim_\mu(x)$ , let

$$A := \{ x : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu(B_n(x))}{-n \log b} \leq d \}. \mu(A^c) = 0, \text{ and,}$$

By Billingsley,  $\dim A \leq d$ .

$$\text{If } d < \text{ess sup } \dim_\mu(x), \text{ let } B := \{ x : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu(B_n(x))}{-n \log b} \geq d \}.$$

$\mu(B) > 0$ . If  $\mu(E^c) = 0$ , then  $\mu(B) = \mu(E \cap B) > 0$ , and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu(B_n(x))}{-n \log b} \geq d$  on  $E \cap B$ .

By Billingsley,  $\dim E \geq \dim E \cap B \geq d$ .

Remark.  $\dim \mu = \inf \{ \dim A : \mu(A) > 0 \} = \text{ess inf } \dim_\mu(x)$  - with the same proof.

Slightly different:  $\underline{\dim}_\mu(x) := \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu(B_n(x))}{-n \log b}$ . Then  $\underline{\dim}_\mu$  and  $\overline{\dim}_\mu$ , defined as  $\inf \{ \dim A : \mu(A^c) = 0 \}$  and  $\sup \{ \dim A : \mu(A) = 0 \}$  are equal to  $\text{ess sup } \underline{\dim}_\mu(x)$  and  $\text{ess inf } \overline{\dim}_\mu(x)$ .

An application, and a first example of multifractal analysis: Eggleston's thm.

Let us remind, that  $T_2(x) = 2x \bmod 1 : (0, 1] \rightarrow (0, 1]$ .

Let  $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k 2^{-k}$  then  $x_k = \chi_{[1/2, 1]}(2^k x \bmod 1) = \chi_{[1/2, 1]}(T_2^{k-1}(x))$ .

$T_2$  preserves Lebesgue measure,  $|T_2^{-1}(B)| = |B|$ , and

i) ergodic, i.e. if  $B$  is  $T_2$ -invariant  $|T_2^{-1}(B) \cap B| = |B|$ , then  $|B| = 0$  or 1.

Then, by the famous Birkhoff ergodic theorem, for any  $f \in L^1$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(T_2^k x) \rightarrow \int_0^1 f(t) dt \quad \mu\text{-a.s.}$$

In particular, for  $f = \chi_{[1/2, 1]}$ ,  $\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x_k}{n} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}$  a.s. by m.

Let us look at an exceptional set

$$A_p := \{ x : \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n x_k}{n} \rightarrow p \} \quad p \neq \frac{1}{2}, 0 \leq p \leq 1, \text{ and}$$

try to compute its dimension.

To this end, define measure  $\mu_p$ . It is enough

to define it for the binary intervals:

$$\mu_p([j]2^{-n}, (j+1)2^{-n}) = p^{k(j)} (1-p)^{n-k(j)},$$

where  $k(j)$  is the number of 1s in the binary expansion of  $j$ .

Alternatively, define inductively by assigning probability

$$\frac{1}{2} \text{ to the right interval, } 1-p \text{ to the left. i.e. } \mu_p(B_n(x)) = p^{\sum_{k=1}^n x_k} (1-p)^{n - \sum_{k=1}^n x_k}.$$

$p = \frac{1}{2}$  - Lebesgue measure.

Define  $h_2(p) := -p \log p - (1-p) \log (1-p)$  the entropy

of  $\mu_p$  with respect to  $T_2$ . I will return to the meaning

of this later, but for now let us prove that

Lemma  $\dim A_p = \dim \mu_p = h_2(p)$ .

Proof. First, let us observe that  $\mu_p$  is also  $T_2$ -invariant and ergodic.

Indeed let  $E$  be  $A$ -invariant set.  $A$  is a shift set with  $n$ . If  $A \subset E$   $\Delta = n$   $T_2$ -

**Lemma.**  $\text{Hdim } A_p = \dim \mu_p = h_2(p)$ . Let us prove that

**Proof.** First, let us observe that  $\mu_p$  is also  $T_2$ -invariant and ergodic.

Indeed, let  $E$  be a  $T_2$ -invariant set,  $A$  - a dynamic set with  $\mu_p(E \cap A) < \epsilon$ ,  $A = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} T_2^n A$ .

Then  $T_2^n A \cap A = \bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} T_2^k A$ , so  $\mu_p(T_2^n A \cap A) = \mu_p(A) \cdot n$ .

But  $\mu_p(E \cap T_2^n A) = \mu_p(E \cap \bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} T_2^k A) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mu_p(E \cap T_2^k A) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mu_p(E) = n \mu_p(E) \leq 4\epsilon$ .

Thus  $\mu_p$  - a.s.  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \chi_E \rightarrow \int \chi_E d\mu_p = \mu_p(E)$ . Another way to see it is by SLLN.  $\chi_k$  are i.i.d. (0,1) with  $(1-p, p)$ .

Thus  $\mu_p(A_p) = 1$ .

$\dim \mu_p = \text{ess sup } \dim \mu(x)$ . But

$$\frac{\log \mu_p(Q_n(x))}{-\log 2} = \frac{1}{-\log 2} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \chi_k \log \frac{1}{p} + \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (1-\chi_k) \log \frac{1}{1-p} \right) \right).$$

So, a.s.  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , since  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x \in A_p$ , it converges to

$$p \log \frac{1}{p} + (1-p) \log \frac{1}{1-p} = h_2(p).$$

So  $h_2(p) = \dim \mu_p \leq \dim A_p \rightarrow$  But for any  $x \in A_p$ ,  $\dim \mu(x) = h_2(p)$  by above. So, by Billingsley,  $\dim A_p \leq h_2(p)$ , since  $\mu_p(A_p) > 0$ . Observe that  $\dim \mu_p$  exists  $\mu_p$ -a.e. as a limit, not  $\lim$ .

**Remark.** A generalization:

Let  $\vec{p} = (p_0, \dots, p_{b-1})$  -  $b$ -multiplex, with

$$p_0 + \dots + p_{b-1} = 1, \quad p_i \geq 0. \text{ Then define}$$

$$\mu_{\vec{p}}(Q_n(x)) = \prod_{j=1}^n p_{x_j}, \quad x = \{x_i\}_{i=1}^n \text{ - } b\text{-ary expansion.}$$

$$A_{\vec{p}} := \{x : \# \{x_k \leq n, x_k = j\} \rightarrow p_j, j=0, \dots, b-1\}$$

$$\dim \mu_{\vec{p}} = \text{Hdim } A_{\vec{p}} = h_{\vec{p}}(\vec{p}) = -\sum_{j=0}^{b-1} p_j \log p_j$$

What is the dynamic meaning of the entropy? Consider again  $b=2$ .

For  $x$ , consider  $\frac{\mu(T_2(B(x, r)))}{\mu(B(x, r))}$  for smaller, or

equivalently,  $\frac{\mu_p(T_2(Q_n(x)))}{\mu_p(Q_n(x))}$ . But  $T_2(Q_n(x)) = Q_{n+1}(T_2 x)$ , so the measure is divided by  $p$  (if  $x_n=1$ ) or  $(1-p)$  (if  $x_n=0$ ).

Let us define Jacobian of  $\mu$   $J_\mu := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu_p(T_2^n(Q_n(x)))}{\mu_p(Q_n(x))} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{p}, & \text{if } x_1=1 \\ \frac{1}{1-p}, & \text{if } x_1=0 \end{cases}$

One can compute  $\mu_p(Q_n(x)) \approx J_\mu(x) \cdot J_\mu(T_2 x) \cdot \dots \cdot J_\mu(T_2^{n-1} x) \mu_p[0,1]$ , so

$$\frac{\log \mu_p(Q_n(x))}{n} \approx \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \log(J_\mu(T_2^k x)) \rightarrow \int \log J_\mu d\mu_p = \text{ergodic theorem!}$$

$\ominus$   $p \log \frac{1}{p} + (1-p) \log \frac{1}{1-p}$  - average expansion of  $\mu$ . Notation: entropy of  $\mu$ ,  $h_\mu(T) = h_\mu$ .

On the other hand, average log expansion of distance is  $\log 2$  - the map is

linear, so it is easy, but let us rewrite it as  $\lambda(\mu) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \log |T_2'(T_2^k(x))| d\mu_p = \log 2$  - the Lyapunov exponent of  $\mu$ .

So, heuristically, after one iteration  $\log \mu$  increases by  $h_\mu$ , and the radius increases by  $\lambda(\mu)$ .

So, again heuristically, we get the right result here:  $\dim \mu(x) = \frac{h_\mu}{\lambda_\mu}$   $\mu$ -a.e., and, consequently,  $\dim \mu = \frac{h_\mu}{\lambda_\mu}$ .

Turns out, that it has total-relaxing generalizations. Let me

state a 1D version.

**Then (Mañé-Przytycki) (Volume Lemma).**

Let  $X \subset \mathbb{C}$  - compact,  $f: X \rightarrow X$  - analytic in some

neighborhood of  $X$ ,  $\mu$  -  $f$ -invariant ergodic measure on  $X$ ,

$\lambda(\mu) > 0$  (so, on  $\mu$ -average,  $f$  is exponentially expanding).

Then  $\mu$ -a.e.  $\dim \mu(x) = \frac{h_\mu}{\lambda_\mu} = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log \mu(B(x, r))}{\log r}$  (exists as a limit!)